



ISSN: 2146-1961

Karaş, R,Ş, (2024). Exploring gypsy representation in Turkish cinema: A critical analysis from 1950 to 2012, *International Journal of Eurasia Social Sciences (IJOESS)*, 15(57), 1162-1175.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.35826/ijoess.4487>

ArticleType (Makale Türü): Research Article

EXPLORING GYPSY REPRESENTATION IN TURKISH CINEMA: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS FROM 1950 TO 2012

Rojda Şükran KARAŞ

Dr., Istanbul Bilgi University, Türkiye, rojda.karas@gmail.com

ORCID: 0009-0005-0371-3369

Received: 01.06.2024

Accepted: 10.08.2024

Published: 01.09.2024

ABSTRACT

This scholarly article examines the depiction of the Gypsy community in Turkish cinema, focusing on 44 films released between 1950 and 2012. The aim of this study is to critically assess whether Gypsy characters in these films are portrayed merely as stereotypes or as fully realized characters with depth and complexity. This interest stems from the broader societal fascination with diverse cultures, particularly how mainstream narratives handle the customs, life experiences, and viewpoints of the Gypsy people. The research method entails a detailed content analysis of films that feature Gypsy characters, with specific attention to how these characters navigate their identities and the concept of 'otherness'. The term "Gypsy" is used thoughtfully throughout the paper, adhering to preferred contemporary nomenclature that respects the community's identity while distancing from historically laden and pejorative labels. Results indicate a predominance of stereotypical portrayals within Turkish cinema, with Gypsy individuals frequently depicted as fortune-tellers, thieves, sorcerers, and exotic dancers who engage in colorful, musical performances. These characters often lack depth and are portrayed using a limited range of behaviors and language styles, which fails to capture the full breadth of the Gypsy identity. The study concludes that Turkish cinema has largely failed to move beyond superficial depictions of the Gypsy, highlighting a critical need for more nuanced and respectful representation in film. This paper contributes to the discourse on media representation of ethnic minorities, calling for a shift towards portrayals that genuinely reflect the diversity and richness of Gypsy culture.

Keywords: Ethnographic representation, Turkish cinema, stereotypes

INTRODUCTION

Cinema serves as a dynamic medium that not only entertains but also educates and informs societal perspectives. It acts as a cultural amalgamator and a vessel for the preservation of collective memory, allowing audiences to encounter and empathize with events and individuals beyond their immediate experience. Güçhan (1992) highlights cinema's unique ability to shape societal attitudes and foster understanding on topics that are otherwise less known, stating that it "directs, reflects, and enriches emotions and thoughts, thus contributing to a society's viewpoint" (p. 5).

The transformative power of cinema is particularly significant in its ability to construct and convey social memories, providing a visual narrative that helps to cement cultural and historical understanding. Balazs (1989) articulates that film is an "image of humanized nature rather than reality, where each background element forms part of the cultural pattern" (p. 16). This perspective underscores the role of cinema in molding our perceptions of reality, mediated through cultural interpretations.

Dixon (2008) explores the relationship between media representations and societal perceptions, particularly focusing on how crime news influences beliefs about racial minorities. This analysis is crucial for understanding how film narratives might reinforce or challenge prevailing stereotypes and societal attitudes. Dixon's work emphasizes the broader implications of media portrayals on public perceptions and highlights the importance of critically examining how cinematic representations of marginalized communities shape and reflect societal views.

In Turkish cinema, the portrayal of the Gypsy community has evolved but remains an area fraught with stereotypes and simplified narratives. Historically, films have often depicted Gypsy characters within the confines of stereotypical roles, influenced by the melodramatic traditions of Yeşilçam. This tradition has persisted into modern portrayals, where the nuanced complexities of Gypsy life are often overshadowed by broad generalizations.

This study aims to scrutinize the representation of the Gypsy community in Turkish cinema from 1950 to 2012. By analyzing how these portrayals either perpetuate stereotypes or attempt to engage with the Gypsies' cultural and societal intricacies, this research seeks to understand the depth of character development and cultural representation. The term "Gypsy" is utilized in this study with an awareness of its historical and contemporary connotations. This choice is informed by ongoing discussions within the community about identity and self-representation, reflecting a nuanced approach to terms that have been both embraced and contested by the community itself.

Moreover, the concept of identity is pivotal in understanding the portrayal of Gypsies in film. Hortaçsu (2007) argues that identity involves an individual's ongoing negotiation of self-awareness and societal positioning (p. 11). This paper extends this idea to explore how cinematic representations influence and reflect societal

attitudes towards Gypsies. By examining the interplay between film portrayals and societal perceptions, this research aims to uncover how identity is constructed and deconstructed in the public imagination.

In addition to historical and narrative analysis, this introduction and the subsequent study consider the broader implications of film representations on societal attitudes towards marginalized communities. It investigates whether these cinematic depictions foster empathy and understanding or reinforce existing prejudices and stereotypes. Through a critical analysis of film narratives and character development, this study contributes to the broader discourse on media representation of ethnic minorities, advocating for a more respectful and comprehensive portrayal of the Gypsy community in Turkish cinema.

The representation of Gypsies in cinema has been a subject of considerable scholarly interest, reflecting broader societal attitudes and prejudices. This study is grounded in the exploration of how Gypsy characters have been portrayed in Turkish cinema, a medium that not only reflects but also shapes the cultural and social perceptions of its audience (Bauman, 2009). Cinema, as a powerful medium of mass communication, possesses the unique capacity to influence public perception and perpetuate societal norms and stereotypes (Güçhan, 1999).

Turkish cinema, with its rich history and cultural significance, offers a unique lens through which to examine the portrayal of marginalized communities, particularly the Gypsies. Historically, Gypsies in Turkey have been subjected to stereotyping and marginalization, often depicted in roles that reinforce societal prejudices such as thieves, fortune tellers, or musicians, which are roles laden with cultural shorthand that conveys a wide array of societal attitudes (Daldal, 2005).

The portrayal of Gypsies in Turkish films has often been criticized for lacking depth and nuance. Characters are frequently presented not as fully developed individuals but as types embodying specific traits that align with existing prejudices (Yağız, 2009). These portrayals reflect and perpetuate the "othering" of the Gypsy community, framing them within a limited range of cultural stereotypes that seldom intersect with the reality of their diverse experiences (Aksu, 2006).

The scholarly framework provided by Chambers (2014) highlights the dual role of cinema as both a mirror reflecting societal views and a constructor of those views. This dual role underscores the significance of analyzing film portrayals, as they not only depict societal views but also have the potential to alter or reinforce these views through the powerful medium of visual storytelling.

Furthermore, the concept of "otherness" as explored in Turkish cinema provides a critical backdrop for this study (Güçhan, 1992; Hancock, 2002). The depiction of Gypsies often revolves around the exoticization or vilification of their culture, which serves to reinforce their status as outsiders within the narrative framework of the national cinema (Altunoğlu, 2009).

This background sets the stage for a detailed analysis of the portrayal of Gypsies in Turkish cinema from 1950 to 2012, aiming to uncover the layers of representation and its implications on the perception of Gypsies in Turkey. The analysis will draw upon historical cinema studies and cultural theory to provide a comprehensive overview of how these portrayals have evolved and what they signify about the changing landscape of Turkish societal attitudes towards this historically marginalized group.

METHOD

Model of the Study

This study adopts a historical and thematic content analysis methodology to investigate the representation of the Gypsy community in Turkish cinema, examining two distinct periods: 1950 to 1980, and 1980 to 2012. This division enables a focused study on the evolution of these portrayals and their alignment with societal changes and continuities concerning Gypsy perceptions (Stam, 2000).

Data Collection Process

Data were systematically collected by reviewing Turkish films identified to contain Gypsy characters or themes. Films were sourced from film archives, academic databases, and filmographies that document the involvement of Gypsy narratives or characters (Thompson & Bordwell, 2010). A total of 44 films were selected based on their relevance, the significance of Gypsy characters in the narrative, and their historical impact on Turkish cinema. Each selected film was viewed in full, with scenes and dialogues involving Gypsy characters meticulously transcribed for detailed analysis (Marshall & Rossman, 2016).

Data Analysis

The analysis was conducted using qualitative content analysis techniques. Films were examined for recurring themes, character types, and narrative structures, focusing on the depiction and development of Gypsy characters (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Two analytical frameworks were applied:

- **Stereotype Analysis:** This framework involved cataloging stereotypical depictions (e.g., fortune-tellers, thieves, musicians) and assessing whether these stereotypes were perpetuated or challenged within the films (Lippmann, 1922).
- **Character Depth Analysis:** This analysis evaluated the complexity of Gypsy characters, exploring whether they were portrayed with distinct personalities, motivations, and developmental arcs or were restricted to superficial roles (Smith, 2005).

Films from each period were analyzed comparatively to detect trends in the representation of Gypsy characters, assessing whether portrayals have progressed or regressed over time. This approach was

instrumental in understanding how cinematic depictions might reflect broader socio-political and cultural shifts in Turkey (Stam, 2000).

FINDINGS

The historical and thematic content analysis of the representation of Gypsies in Turkish cinema from 1950 to 2012 was structured into two distinct periods, aligning with significant socio-political changes in Turkey. The analysis utilized qualitative content analysis techniques focusing on both Stereotype Analysis and Character Depth Analysis. This dual framework enabled a comprehensive examination of how Gypsies have been depicted across different cinematic eras and genres. Each selected film underwent meticulous viewing and transcription of scenes and dialogues involving Gypsy characters to ensure a thorough analysis.

Analysis of Gypsy Representation in Turkish Cinema from 1950 to 1980

During this period, Turkish cinema began to integrate Gypsy characters into its narratives, though often through a lens clouded by societal stereotypes and exotic fascination. This section outlines the findings in a structured manner, highlighting the themes and character types prevalent during this era.

Table 1 categorizes films based on their portrayal of Gypsies in terms of reliance on stereotypes, the depth of character development, and the authenticity of cultural representation. Films like *Arsak Sulukule* and *Şaban Çingeneler Arasında* predominantly used Gypsy settings for exotic or comedic effects, offering little to no authentic insight into Gypsy life. *Tek Kollu Canavar* and *Papatya* showcase a slight progression in narrative complexity but continue to portray Gypsies through a lens of otherness and romanticization. *Yankesici Kız* represents a marginal shift towards more complex characters but still within the confines of stereotypical roles such as thieves and fortune-tellers.

Table 1. Gypsy Representation in Turkish Cinema from 1950 to 1980

Film Title	Year	Stereotype	Character Complexity	Cultural Representation
<i>Arsak Sulukule</i>	1952	Exotic Locale	Low	Surface-level
<i>Şaban Çingeneler Arasında</i>	1952	Comedic Relief	Low	Simplistic
<i>Tek Kollu Canavar</i>	1954	Negative Stereotypes (Thieves, Liars)	Low	Misrepresentative
<i>Papatya</i>	1956	Romanticized Exoticism	Medium	Surface-level with some depth
<i>Yankesici Kız</i>	1964	Criminal Underworld	Medium	Romanticized

According to Table 1, the analysis indicated that from 1950 to 1980, Turkish cinema's portrayal of Gypsy characters was predominantly superficial, lacking depth, and relying on stereotypes. These films often depicted Gypsies in roles that did not extend beyond the peripheries of the primary narrative, serving more as cultural props or exotic backdrops than as integral characters with agency and complexity. The portrayal tended to

oscillate between romanticized exoticism and negative stereotyping, with little effort made to explore the genuine socio-cultural dynamics of Gypsy communities.

Despite these shortcomings, the period laid the groundwork for the introduction of Gypsy characters in Turkish cinema, albeit in a manner that necessitated further development and understanding. The films generally failed to challenge the prevailing stereotypes and instead reinforced a narrow perspective on Gypsy life, often ignoring the rich cultural heritage and the complex social challenges faced by the Gypsy community in Turkey.

The 1980s marked a period of significant socio-political turbulence in Turkey, reflected vividly in its cinema. The aftermath of the 1980 military coup saw a drastic reduction in film production, and the films produced during this time rarely addressed the period's harsh political realities directly. However, the portrayal of Gypsies in films such as the *Gırgıriye* series (1981-1984) offered a nuanced glimpse into the lives of Gypsy communities in the Sulukule district, focusing on their interpersonal conflicts and vibrant cultural practices, notably their music.

The *Gırgıriye* series, including *Görgüsüzler* (1982) and subsequent sequels, depicted the daily struggles and romantic entanglements of Gypsy families, offering a more intimate portrayal than earlier films. These films showcased the complexity of Gypsy life beyond the simplistic depictions of poverty or criminality. They explored the dynamics within Gypsy families and their interactions with the broader community, often using humor and melodrama to engage audiences (Gırgıriye, 1981-1984).

The portrayal of Gypsy characters in these films was double-edged. On one hand, these narratives brought Gypsy culture into the limelight, celebrating their music and community spirit. On the other hand, the films often did not fully escape the trap of stereotyping, as Gypsies were frequently shown in conflict over financial matters, echoing longstanding stereotypes about Gypsy materialism and disorganization.

As Turkish cinema transitioned through the 1990s and into the 21st century, the representation of Gypsies continued to evolve but still faced significant challenges. Films like *Alev Gibi Bir Kız* (1990) and *Çingene* (Ceylan, 1989) attempted to depict Gypsies in a more settled and modern context, showing them using cars instead of horse-drawn carts and engaging in contemporary life. However, these films often reverted to romanticizing or vilifying Gypsy characters, struggling to present a balanced view of Gypsy life that could challenge prevailing stereotypes.

In the *Çingene* (1989), the film attempts to humanize the Gypsy experience by portraying Kadir Baba, a respected elder who mediates community issues and recounts the oral history of his people. This character provides insights into the Gypsy experience, touching on themes of displacement and identity. Yet, even in this film, Gypsies are depicted with a certain exoticism and are shown as inherently different from the mainstream society, their culture romanticized but not fully integrated into the narrative fabric of Turkish society (Çingene, 1989).

The late 1990s and early 2000s saw a further decline in the nuanced representation of Gypsies in Turkish cinema, coinciding with the rise of more commercial and genre-specific filmmaking, such as erotic films and melodramas, where Gypsy characters were either marginalized or portrayed in stereotypical roles. The film *Dansöz* (2001), despite its intentions to honor the director's Gypsy caregiver, ended up contributing to the sexualization and exoticization of Gypsy characters, reinforcing rather than challenging stereotypes.

Moreover, contemporary films occasionally include Gypsy characters in narratives that focus on broader social issues, such as *Toprağın Çocukları* (2012), which intertwines the story of Gypsy survivors of a massacre with the history of Turkey's Village Institutes. This film is notable for incorporating the Romani language, a rare occurrence in Turkish cinema, which adds a layer of cultural authenticity often missing from previous depictions (*Toprağın Çocukları*, 2012).

The first period of the analysis underscores a critical need for Turkish cinema to transcend its initial superficial treatment of Gypsy characters. The reliance on stereotypes and lack of character depth not only hindered a genuine understanding of the Gypsy community but also perpetuated a skewed image that could potentially influence societal attitudes negatively. This period reflects a missed opportunity in Turkish cinema to harness its narrative power to foster a deeper, more respectful engagement with the diversity of Gypsy culture and life.

During the early period of 1950 to 1980, Turkish cinema's depiction of Gypsies was largely influenced by societal stereotypes and the exoticization of their culture. Films like *Arsak Sulukule* (1952) and *Şaban Çingeneler Arasında* (1952) are seminal in that they introduced Gypsy characters to the Turkish audience but did so using a comedic lens, which often undermined the authenticity of their portrayal. These films, while breaking ground in the depiction of Gypsies, did not necessarily advance a deeper understanding or respectful representation of the Gypsy culture. Instead, they perpetuated a simplistic and often romanticized image of Gypsies, focusing on their music, dance, and perceived mysticism rather than their real-life challenges and cultural depth.

The *Stereotype Analysis* reveals that during this era, Gypsies were frequently depicted in roles that aligned with common stereotypes: fortune-tellers, thieves, and entertainers. This not only limited the character development but also reinforced the audience's preconceived notions about Gypsy communities. Films like *Tek Kollu Canavar* (1954) and *Papatya* (1956) exemplify this trend, where Gypsies are portrayed through a lens of otherness, serving as mere backdrops to the narratives of non-Gypsy characters.

Character Depth Analysis during this period shows a lack of complex or fully developed Gypsy characters. Most films did not provide Gypsy characters with significant backstories or motivations, often depicting them as one-dimensional figures whose lives revolve around survival or entertainment. This analysis underscores a significant missed opportunity in Turkish cinema to explore the rich cultural tapestry and nuanced personal histories of the Gypsy community.

Analysis of Gypsy Representation in Turkish Cinema from 1980 to 2012

The analysis for the period from 1980 to 2012 addresses the changes and continuities in the depiction of Gypsy characters in Turkish cinema during a time of significant political, social, and cultural shifts within Turkey. This era, influenced by the 1980 military coup and its aftermath, presents a complex backdrop for exploring how Gypsy representations have evolved or persisted in cinematic portrayals.

Table 2 highlights a selection of films from 1980 to 2012, showcasing a range of stereotypical and nuanced portrayals. The *Gırgıriye* series marks a notable shift towards more culturally detailed representations, although within a comedic framework. *Çingene (Ceylan)* and *Toprağın Çocukları* offer higher complexity and some authentic cultural elements, marking progress in depicting Gypsies with more depth and respect. However, films like *Dansöz* represent a regression, relying on eroticized and sensationalized portrayals that detract from genuine cultural representation.

Table 2. Gypsy Representation in Turkish Cinema from 1980 to 2012

Film Title	Year	Stereotype	Character Complexity	Cultural Representation
Gırgıriye Serisi	1981-1984	Comedic but nuanced	Medium	Detailed cultural portrayal
Çingene (Ceylan)	1989	Modern challenges	High	Some authentic elements
Alev Gibi Bir Kız	1990	Romantic/Tragic	Medium	Romanticized but culturally informative
Dansöz	2001	Eroticized stereotype	Low	Misrepresentative and sensationalized
Toprağın Çocukları	2012	Historical context	High	Authentic cultural elements

According to Table 2 saw the period from 1980 to 2012 a gradual shift towards more nuanced portrayals of Gypsies in Turkish cinema, although this progression was not linear or uniformly positive. The *Gırgıriye* series, while comedic, began to explore the everyday lives of Gypsies, moving beyond mere stereotypes to depict their cultural practices, communal living, and interpersonal relationships. This series opened a window into the Gypsy community, presenting their joys, struggles, and social dynamics in a more relatable and humanized manner.

However, the journey towards more authentic representations has been fraught with challenges. Films like *Dansöz* demonstrate how easily Gypsy characters can be reduced to sensationalist elements, undermining efforts to portray them as complex individuals. In contrast, *Toprağın Çocukları* utilizes historical narratives to place Gypsies within a broader socio-cultural context, integrating authentic elements such as the use of the Romani language, which enhances the cultural authenticity and depth of the portrayal.

The analysis of Gypsy representation in Turkish cinema from 1980 to 2012 reveals a mixed landscape where strides towards better representation are evident, yet inconsistencies and challenges remain. While there are

examples of films that have successfully portrayed Gypsies with dignity and complexity, other films continue to perpetuate outdated stereotypes or fail to engage deeply with the Gypsy experience.

This period underscores the need for continued efforts in Turkish cinema to engage with Gypsy characters in ways that respect their cultural identity and reflect their true life experiences. By doing so, cinema can play a crucial role in challenging stereotypes and fostering a more inclusive and accurate understanding of Gypsy communities in Turkey. This requires a commitment to exploring Gypsy life beyond the surface level, emphasizing their agency, cultural richness, and the diverse realities they face.

The period from 1980 to 2012 saw some shifts in the portrayal of Gypsies in Turkish cinema, influenced by broader socio-political changes, including the impact of the 1980 military coup and the subsequent cultural conservatism. Films from this era began to reflect a more nuanced understanding of Gypsy life, though challenges persisted in overcoming entrenched stereotypes.

The *Girgiriye* series (1981-1984) represents a pivotal moment in the portrayal of Gypsies, focusing more on their daily lives, cultural practices, and internal community dynamics. The series, while still employing comedy, offered a richer portrayal of Gypsy characters, showing them not just as entertainers but as individuals with complex relationships and community roles.

Stereotype Analysis for this period indicates a gradual shift away from the more overt stereotypes. However, even films that aimed to portray Gypsies more authentically, such as *Çingene* (Ceylan, 1989), often fell into the trap of romanticizing or sensationalizing certain aspects of Gypsy culture, particularly around issues of nomadism and mysticism.

Character Depth Analysis reveals that while there were improvements in the depiction of Gypsy characters, with films beginning to offer more rounded portrayals that included elements of personal agency and cultural integrity, the overall depth remained limited. The narrative focus often remained on Gypsies' interactions with non-Gypsy characters, rather than exploring their internal community life or cultural challenges in depth.

Over the span from 1950 to 2012, the depiction of Gypsies in Turkish cinema shows a gradual evolution from stereotypical portrayals towards a more nuanced but still imperfect representation. While early films relied heavily on stereotypes, later films began to explore the complexities of Gypsy life, albeit often within the confines of existing societal narratives about Gypsies. This analysis highlights the need for ongoing efforts in Turkish cinema to engage more deeply with Gypsy culture, moving beyond stereotypes to explore the rich and diverse realities of their lives. This requires a conscientious effort to avoid romanticizing or othering Gypsy culture and instead focus on authentic, respectful, and multi-dimensional portrayals.

Transitioning to the period from 1980 to 2012, the representation of Gypsies in Turkish cinema mirrored the socio-political changes in Turkey, particularly post-1980 military coup. Films from this period, such as the *Girgiriye* series, began to depict Gypsy communities with a greater focus on their internal dynamics, shifting

away from solely romantic or tragic narratives to include themes of community life, social struggles, and intra-community relationships.

The *Gırgıriye* series (1981-1984) marked a significant shift in the portrayal of Gypsies by showing the vibrancy of their cultural practices alongside the challenges they faced within Turkish society. This series, while still comedic, offered a more nuanced look at Gypsy life, showcasing their celebrations, musical heritage, and the complex interpersonal relations within Gypsy communities (Gırgıriye, 1981-1984).

The latter years saw an increase in films that attempted to present more nuanced portrayals of Gypsies, although challenges remained. Films like *Çingene* (Ceylan, 1989) and *Toprağın Çocukları* (2012) attempted to address these by integrating aspects of Gypsy culture more authentically, such as the inclusion of the Romani language in *Toprağın Çocukları*, a rare occurrence that brought a significant cultural element to the forefront of throughout the period from 1980 to 2012, there was a notable evolution in how Turkish cinema approached the representation of Gypsies. This change can largely be attributed to a growing awareness and sensitivity towards multiculturalism and ethnic diversities, influenced by global cinematic trends and Turkey's own shifting political landscapes. Despite these advances, the portrayal of Gypsies still often wrestled with balancing authenticity against cinematic stereotypes:

- **Çingene (Ceylan, 1989)** - This film stands out for its attempt to portray Gypsies in a contemporary setting, focusing on the personal and communal challenges they face. The film delves into issues such as identity, belonging, and the clash between traditional and modern lifestyles. It highlights the struggles of Gypsies to maintain their cultural identity in a rapidly changing world, providing audiences with a more complex understanding of Gypsy life beyond the usual tropes.
- **Toprağın Çocukları (2012)** - As a film that integrates historical and cultural elements, *Toprağın Çocukları* addresses the persecution and resilience of Gypsies through a historical lens. By using the Romani language, the film adds an essential layer of authenticity and serves as a cultural preservation tool. It not only educates the audience about the historical injustices faced by Gypsies but also celebrates their enduring spirit and cultural richness.

Despite these advancements, the period was not without its challenges. Films often struggled to completely break free from the allure of romanticizing or victimizing Gypsy characters. The cinematic industry's drive for appealing narratives sometimes led to oversimplified portrayals that did not fully capture the true complexity of Gypsy lives. Moreover, the reliance on Gypsy characters as symbols of otherness or exoticism occasionally undermined the efforts to present them as multifaceted individuals.

The portrayal of Gypsies in Turkish cinema during this period received mixed reactions from critics and audiences alike. While some praised the efforts to highlight Gypsy culture and issues, others criticized the continued use of stereotypes and called for more genuine representations. The impact of these films on societal attitudes towards Gypsies was significant, as cinema plays a crucial role in shaping public perceptions.

Films that handled Gypsy characters with depth and sensitivity contributed to a broader understanding and acceptance of this community, while those that did not perpetuated outdated views and prejudices.

In conclusion, the representation of Gypsies in Turkish cinema from 1980 to 2012 reflects a period of gradual progress interspersed with persistent challenges. As Turkish filmmakers continue to explore Gypsy themes, there is an ongoing need for more profound engagement with Gypsy cultures, aiming to portray their stories with the complexity and dignity they deserve. This entails a continuous effort from the film industry to not only depict Gypsy lives but also to engage with and respect their cultural narratives in a way that truly honors their contributions to Turkish society and beyond.

CONCLUSION and DISCUSSION

The depiction of Gypsies in Turkish cinema, covering the extensive period from 1950 to 2012, reflects a broader sociocultural narrative within Turkey that both mirrors and molds public perceptions of this marginalized community. Cinema, as a powerful medium of mass communication, plays a critical role in the creation and reinforcement of societal norms and values. It can perpetuate stereotypes but also has the potential to challenge misconceptions and promote greater understanding and acceptance of diverse cultures (Kolukirik, 2004; Kolukirik, 2009).

The cinematic image, as suggested by Daldal (2005), is not a pure reflection of reality but a crafted representation shaped by the director's intentions, the technical aspects of filmmaking, and the prevailing societal attitudes. These factors collectively influence how Gypsies have been portrayed in films, often in ways that align with existing societal stereotypes. As Güçhan (1999) points out, every image projected in cinema is a product of a specific ideology, crafted through selective choices in subject matter, style, camera movements, compositions, and the use of symbols and motifs. This construction reflects the director's perspective and is inherently ideological (Duygulu, 2006).

In Turkish cinema, Gypsies have often been depicted through a lens of external observation, where directors project their expectations and imaginations onto the characters without a deep engagement with their actual living conditions or cultural practices. This approach tends to reinforce existing stereotypes and fails to provide a realistic portrayal of the Gypsy community. This superficial representation is critiqued by Yağız (2009), who notes that the creation of typified characters in films often results from an exaggerated presentation of common traits, which does not reflect the individuality of the characters but rather portrays a generalized view that resonates with the audience on a broader scale.

Throughout the period from 1950 to 1980 and extending to 2012, the portrayal of Gypsies in Turkish cinema has shown signs of evolution, yet significant challenges remain. Early films often depicted Gypsies in roles that were limited to stereotypes such as fortune-tellers, thieves, or exotic dancers (Neyzi, 2004; Türkdöğän, 2013). These roles did not provide any depth to the characters and reduced them to mere caricatures within the cinematic narrative. However, as global awareness of cultural representation grew, and Turkey's own socio-

political landscape evolved, especially after the 1980 military coup, there was a gradual shift towards more nuanced portrayals.

Films like the *Girgriye* series began to explore Gypsy life beyond the simplistic stereotypes, offering insights into their cultural practices, community dynamics, and the challenges they face within Turkish society (Yağız, 2009; Ünür, 2013). These films, while still comedic and not devoid of stereotypes, marked a significant departure from earlier depictions by providing a more rounded view of Gypsy life.

By the late 20th and early 21st centuries, films such as "*Çingene*" (Ceylan, 1989) and "*Toprağın Çocukları*" (2012) attempted to address Gypsy representation with greater sensitivity and depth. These films incorporated elements of Gypsy culture that were previously overlooked or misrepresented, such as the inclusion of the Romani language in "*Toprağın Çocukları*." This was a rare but important step towards authentic representation, as language is a key component of cultural identity.

Despite these advancements, the portrayal of Gypsies in Turkish cinema often continues to rely on stereotypes. This not only distorts the public's perception of Gypsy culture but also affects the community's self-identification and societal integration. The ongoing challenge for Turkish cinema is to move beyond these stereotypes and offer portrayals that reflect the true diversity and complexity of the Gypsy community.

SUGGESTIONS

To promote more accurate and respectful representations of Gypsies in Turkish cinema, several steps can be recommended:

- **Authentic Engagement:** Filmmakers should engage more deeply with the Gypsy community to understand their stories and perspectives. This can be achieved through collaborative projects that involve Gypsies in the filmmaking process, from scriptwriting to acting.
- **Cultural Sensitivity Training:** Film crews, including directors and producers, could benefit from cultural sensitivity training to better understand the implications of their portrayals and ensure that their work respects the cultural heritage of the communities depicted.
- **Educational Objectives:** Filmmakers should consider the educational potential of their films. By consciously aiming to educate audiences about the rich history and culture of Gypsies, cinema can play a significant role in combating stereotypes and fostering a more inclusive society.

In conclusion, the journey of Gypsy representation in Turkish cinema from 1950 to 2012 illustrates both progress and persistent challenges. While there have been notable advances in the depiction of Gypsies, the reliance on stereotypes and the lack of deep engagement with Gypsy culture continue to be major issues. For Turkish cinema to contribute positively to the cultural understanding and integration of Gypsies, a sustained effort is required to develop more accurate, respectful, and nuanced portrayals. This not only enhances the

artistic integrity of Turkish cinema but also plays a crucial role in shaping a more inclusive and empathetic society.

ETHICAL TEXT

This article adheres to the journal's writing standards, publication principles, research and publication ethics rules, and journal ethical guidelines. The author is responsible for any and all violations related to the article. " No ethical approval was required for this study as it involves the analysis of public domain secondary data (films) and does not involve human participants or animals. Therefore, no ethical committee clearance was necessary. This ensures that the research complies with all applicable ethical standards and legal requirements."

Author(s) Contribution Rate: In this study, the first author's contribution is 100%.

REFERENCES

- Aksu, M. (2006). *Türkiye'de öteki olmak*. Kesit Yayınları.
- Altunoğlu, M. (2009). *Kimliğin modern inşası, kimlik politikaları ve Türkiye'de kimlik tartışmaları* (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Gazi University, Ankara.
- Aytav, E.T. (2011). *Türkiye'de öteki olmak*. Mavi Ufuklar Yayınları.
- Bauman, Z. (2009). *Sosyolojik düşünmek* (A. Yılmaz, Trans.). Ayrıntı Yayınları.
- Bauman, Z. (2009). *Sosyolojik düşünmek* (A. Yılmaz, Trans.). Ayrıntı Yayınları.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Büker, S. (1989). *Film ve gerçek*. Anadolu Üniversitesi Basımevi.
- Chambers, I. (2014). *Göç kültür kimlik* (İ. Türkmen & M. Beşikçi, Trans.). Ayrıntı Yayınları.
- Daldal, A. (2005). *1960 darbesi ve Türk Sinemasında toplumsal gerçekçilik*. Homer Kitabevi.
- Dixon, T. L. (2008). Crime news and racialized beliefs: Understanding the relationship between local news viewing and perceptions of African Americans and crime. *Journal of Communication*, 58(1), 106-125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2007.00376.x>
- Duygulu, M. (2006). *Türkiye'de Çingene müziği*. Pan Yayıncılık.
- Güçhan, G. (1992). *Toplumsal değişme ve türk sineması*. İmge Yayınları.
- Güçhan, G. (1999). *Tür sineması görüntü ve ideoloji*. Anadolu Üniversitesi İletişim Bilimleri Fakültesi Yay.
- Gürbilek, N. (2008). *Mağdurun dili*. Metis Yayınları.
- Hancock, I. (2002). *We are the Romani people*. University of Hertfordshire Press.
- Hortaçsu, N. (2007). *Ben biz hepimiz, toplumsal kimlik ve gruplararası ilişkiler*. İmge Yayınları.
- Kolukırık, S. (2004). *Aramızdaki Yabancı Çingeneler* (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Ege University, İzmir, Turkey.
- Kolukırık, S. (2009). *Dünden bugüne çingeneler "kültür-kimlik-dil-tarih"*. Ozan Yayıncılık.
- Lippmann, W. (1922). *Public opinion*. Harcourt, Brace and Company.
- Marshall, C., & Rossman, G. B. (2016). *Designing qualitative research* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.

- Monaco, J. (2000). *How to Read a Film: Movies, Media, Multimedia*. Oxford University Press.
- Neyzi, L. (2004). *"Ben kimim" Türkiye'de sözlü tarih, kimlik ve öznellik*. İletişim Yayınları.
- Sinema, (10 Kasım 1956). *Akis Dergisi*, 131, 29-30. Retrieved from <http://www.ismetinonu.org.tr/index.php/akis-1956>, http://www.inonuvakfi.com/akis/1956_131.pdf.
- Smith, M. (2005). The complexity of character depiction: Tools for assessing narrative effects. *Journal of Media Psychology*, 10(3), 122-137.
- Sönmez, S. (2015). *Filmlerle hatırlamak, "toplumsal travmaların sinemada temsil edilişi"*. Metis Yayınları.
- Stam, R. (2000). *Film theory: An introduction*. Blackwell Publishers.
- Thompson, K., & Bordwell, D. (2010). *Film History: An introduction*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Türkdoğan, O. (2013). *Türkiye'nin etnik yapısı sosyolojik bir analiz*. Çizgi Yayınları.
- Ünür, E. (2013). Görünmeyen kimlikler: Öteki kimliği bağlamında 'kayıp şehir' dizisinin analizi. *Akademik İncelemeler Dergisi*, 8(2), 258.
- Yağız, N. (2009). *Türk sinemasında karakterler ve tipler, "Türk sinemasının Türk toplumuna bakışı 1950-1975 dönemi"*. İşaret Yayınları.
- Yağız, N. (2009). *Türk sinemasında karakterler ve tipler, İçinde, Türk Sinemasının Türk Toplumuna Bakışı 1950-1975 Dönemi*. İşaret Yayınları.